important things to the constituents that I represent here in Washington, DC, when I come here to work from Indiana, is that we give them and their children the opportunity to get to college. Some of my people that have been working for 10, and 15, and 20 years find because the economy is changing they have to go back to school and learn some new skills, some computer skills, some blueprint skills, some total quality management skills, and they are going to schools in Indiana to learn these new skills. We should not make it more difficult, we should not make it more expensive we should not make it more arduous for these people to get this education and training, to help our economy move forward.

But where do wo cut, Mr. Speaker, because we do need to balance this budget in 7 years? I think that is where the Republican colleagues of mine have it right. We do need to make tough decisions with a fair ourcome to get this balanced budget on line in 1995.

I think we start with B-2 bombers that the Pentagon does not even want, that the CINC commanders, the Joint Chiefs of Staff, have said we do not need these. I think we talk about tobacco subsidies where we cost taxpayers money twice, once by paying their tobacco subsidy through the Government, another time by paying hospital costs for patients that go to the hospital and contract cancer. I think we cut in a host of areas, through eliminating the Interestate Commerce Commission, to elimiante or at least reforming and changing, the market subsidies we give to big corporations to advertise overseas. These are corporate welfare proposals and programs that we do not heed in 1995 if we are going to balance the budget.

Mr. Speaker, over 300 Members of Congress have voted for a balanced budget; 73 voted for a coalition budget; over 230 Republicans voted for a balanced budget proposal some weeks ago. Now I think we should begin to move forward in bringing a number of these people together, hopefully 218, that will come up with a fair way to our seniors, and our students and our working people in this country to get that balanced budget in effect.

## THE PRESIDENTIAL POLITICS OF BALANCING THE BUDGET

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Florida [Mr. WELDON] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. WELDON of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I rise tonight to talk a little bit about our balanced budget proposal, our 7-year balanced budget proposal, and in particular the President and his previous claims of supporting a balanced budget, and I do want to dwell a little bit on the issue of Medicare. I think Medicare is a very important issue.

Mr. Speaker, I am very familiar with the Medicare system. I earned my liv-

ing prior to coming to the House of Representatives, and I plan on when I leave the House of Representatives going back to, practicing medicine. I am a physician, and I very much enjoyed taking care of senior citizens as an internist. About half of my clinical practice was caring for seniors, and I know firsthand how much our seniors depend on the Medicare program, and I think what the President is doing with this issue is truly disgraceful, and he is playing pure politics with the Medicare program, and in his proposal he wants to lower the Medicare premium to 25 percent, and then in subsequent years, after the election, essentially after he has bought the senior vote, he is going to let it drift up. In our proposal the Medicare part B premium will do exactly what it has done over the previous 7 years under the Democrats of this House. It will slowly double. Under the President's proposal it will double as well, but it goes down in the crucial year of 1996 when he is seeking to get reelected

What are we talking about in our budget proposal? We are talking about a 7-year balanced budget proposal. We have not been able to get the President to agree to this very fundamental principle. This is a man who ran in 1992 pledging that he would balance the budget in 5 years. Three years after he has been elected, he is refusing to sign on to a 7-year balanced budget proposal. Instead he is putting forward this budget proposal that supposedly gets us to balance in 10 years, 13 years after he has been elected when he ran on a 5-year proposal. We have welfare reform in our budget proposal. He refuses to support that, a man who ran saying that he was going to end welfare as we know it

What else do we have in our budget proposal? Tax relief for families with children. When my father was raising myself and my three sisters, as a postal worker he sent 4 percent of his income to Washington. Now those working fathers with children send 25 to 30 percent of their income to Washington. That is the single biggest reason why so many of those working families with children have to put mama out to work, too, and my colleagues know what happens then. They do not spend as much time with their kids. In the 1950's the average parents spent 35 hours a week in direct contact with their children. They now spend 17 hours a week. Who is talking care of the kids? The television loaded with violence.

Finally, what else do we have in our budget proposal? We have economic incentives, a capital gains reduction that will pump money back into the economy, that will create jobs, jobs for people who are unemployed, and the President is refusing to sign on to any of these things, and what is the most crass thing, he is actually going so far as to try to claim he is trying to protect Medicare when in reality it is a temporary thing in Medicare. A year

later the Medicare premiums will rise, and rise, and rise, and the President knows all this. But yet he is continuing to play politics. When the Medicare program was created, the Medicare part B premium was supposed to be shared by seniors, 50 percent coming out of the pockets of working people, 50 percent coming out of the pockets of seniors. Today many of those working people who are being taxed to support the Medicare Program cannot afford health insurance themselves. In our budget proposal we keep the percentage at 311/2 percent. That is what it is at today. We think that is a fair and reasonable thing to do.

But yet the President is trying to play politics with this. He is trying to lower the Medicare premium in an election year, and then he is going to turn around and raise it on seniors just like he turned around and raised taxes in 1993 after he ran in 1992 saying that he was going to give middle-class working Americans a tax cut. He raised taxes on them; he raised taxes on senior citizens. Senior citizens had their Social Security income taxed, an increase in their taxes.

Mr. Speaker, I encourage all my colleagues in the House, as well as my colleagues on the other side of the aisle, to put politics aside and join together in a reasonable proposal to get us toward a balanced budget in 7 years.

## □ 2000

It is for our future, it is for the future of our children, it is for the future of our children's children. What kind of life are we going to leave the next generation? In years past, you paid off the farm, you did not leave the kids a mortgage. Today in America, today in America, every child that is born is being born into an economy where they owe \$18,000 of debt. They are going to have to pay back with interest on that debt about \$18,000. That is \$4.9 trillion worth of debt. Mr. Speaker, I encourage the President to support our budget, to vote in favor of balancing the budget in 7 years.

## NOW IS THE TIME TO BALANCE THE BUDGET

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. BARR). Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Indiana [Mr. BURTON] is recognized for 5 minutes

Mr. BURTON of Indiana. Mr. Speaker, I agree with just about everything my colleague the gentleman from Florida, who preceded me, has just said. I have been in Congress now for 13 years, and I have gone out and had a lot of town meetings with senior citizens and people from all across my district. I have talked all across the country. When you talk to people about the pain of cutting spending, people say, "We have to balance that budget. We do not want to leave a legacy of debt to our kids and to our grandkids. We do not